

## ITAR Firearms Amendments - Six Important Points You Need to Know

By: Camden R. Webb & Charles E. "Chuck" James, Jr.

## 02.06.2020

On January 23, 2020, the State and Commerce Departments published their long-awaited final regulations on the transfer of certain firearms, ammunition, components and accessories from controls under the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (?ITAR?) to the Export Administration Regulations (?EAR?). Specifically, DDTC amended Categories I, II and III of the U.S. Munitions List (?USML?) to transfer certain firearms, including non-automatic and semi-automatic firearms up to .50 caliber (12.7mm) inclusive, from the USML to the Commerce Control List (?CCL?) under the EAR along with certain ammunition, parts, accessories and attachments. Commerce also issued companion regulations to establish controls for the newly transferred items under the EAR. The following are a number of important points to recognize about the amendments.

1. <u>Firearms and Related Products Will Still Be Heavily Regulated Under the U.S. Export Laws, Even</u> <u>After the Amendments</u>. It is critical to remember that while the firearms covered under the amendments will no longer be subject to ITAR, they will still be subject to significant regulation by the Commerce Department under the EAR. This regulation includes most of the principal types of controls that applied under ITAR. While the details may be changed (such as export classification numbers and procedures for filing license applications), the majority of responsibilities for U.S. exporters will remain the same. Requirements under the EAR that will apply going forward in many types of firearms industry transactions include the following:

- The requirement to obtain export licenses for the export of such items;
- The requirement to obtain export licenses for the export of controlled technology and the transferof such technology to foreign persons in the U.S. (called a ?deemed export?) including to employees of your company;
- The requirement to obtain licenses for reexports and transfers in foreign countries of controlled items;
- While Commerce does not formally regulate defense services as defined under the ITAR, Commerce has stated on many occasions that in performing services for a foreign party a U.S.

person may transfer controlled technology, and as such the performance of services related to controlled products, technologies or software may require BIS authorization if controlled technology is transferred in the process;

- Restrictions on selling/transferring items to parties on the BIS restricted party lists including the Entity List (think Huawei and ZTE), the Denied Persons List and the Unverified List;
- If a product is manufactured abroad that incorporates a controlled U.S. component or is ?bundled? with controlled U.S. software, if the value of the controlled U.S. items exceed the *de minimis* level in EAR §734.4 (25% for most countries) the foreign manufactured product becomes ?subject to the EAR? and may be subject to U.S. export requirements in the foreign country[1]
- If a product is manufactured abroad that is the ?direct product? of certain controlled U.S. technology or software identified in EAR §736.2(b)(3), or produced in a foreign plant that is the direct product of U.S.-origin technology or software identified in §736.2(b)(3), the newly manufactured product becomes ?subject to the EAR? and may be subject to U.S. export requirements in the foreign country;
- Restrictions on the use of products subject to the EAR in one of the prohibited end uses identified in EAR Part 744;
- Restrictions on selling/transferring items to countries subject to BIS embargoes set forth in EAR Part 746;
- Restrictions on selling certain controlled items for ?military end uses? in China, and for a ?military end use? or ?military end user? in Russia and Venezuela;
- Recordkeeping requirements under EAR Part 762;
- If the U.S. company is being acquired by a foreign company, the fact that the U.S. company manufactures or possess firearms products and/or related technology will subject the transaction to enhanced scrutiny by the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States (?CFIUS?) and may trigger a mandatory CFIUS filing by the parties to the transaction.

Also, many firearms industry products will <u>continue to be regulated under ITAR</u> after adoption of the amendments, including for example:

- Fully automatic firearms (including firearms under .50 caliber (12.7 mm)) and fully automatic shotguns;
- Recoilless rifles;
- Many guns greater than .50 caliber (12.7mm) including guns, howitzers, artillery, cannons and grenade launchers;
- Firearms that use caseless ammunition;
- Certain precision guided firearms;
- Ammunition for guns and armaments controlled under the new USML Category II;
- Caseless ammunition manufactured with smokeless powder;
- Certain ?tracer? ammunition employing pyrotechnic material; and

• Ammunition preassembled into links or belts.

Thus while a few of the requirements under ITAR are eliminated (such as the registration requirement) most of the general compliance requirements will continue, except administered through a different agency.

2. <u>The New Changes Become Effective Quickly ? Get Ready Now</u>. The new regulations become effective on <u>March 9, 2020</u>. <u>This is an extremely short time period</u> - much shorter than was provided in the past for many other USML amendments. (The Directorate of Defense Trade Controls (?DDTC?) and Bureau of Industry and Security (?BIS?) provided 180-day transition periods for transfers of items from the USML to the CCL for other USML categories under export control reform.)

As a result, companies should begin planning for these changes immediately. Due to the time periods required for determining export classifications for products, determining new licensing requirements, obtaining export licenses and amending compliance procedures, companies will have to move quickly to remain in compliance and avoid costly violations.

3. <u>Parts, Accessories and Attachments Are Treated in Many Different Ways</u>. While many firearms parts, components, accessories and attachments are being transferred to the EAR, many will still be regulated under ITAR. Also, various components, accessories and attachments transferred to the EAR will be classified under a variety of different ECCNs or possibly become EAR99. Thus, manufacturers and distributors of these products will have to work quickly to determine how the new amendments will apply to their particular products.

For example, the following items will still be ITAR-controlled after the adoption of the amendments:

- Silencers, mufflers and sound suppressors;
- Barrels, receivers (frames), bolts, bold carriers, slides and sears ?specially designed? for the items covered under the newly revised USML Category I (a), (b) and (d);
- Drum and other magazines for firearms to .50 caliber (12.7 mm) inclusive with a capacity greater than 50 rounds, regardless of jurisdiction of the firearm, and specially designed parts and components therefor;
- Parts and components specially designed for conversion of a semiautomatic firearm to a fully automatic firearm;
- Accessories or attachments specially designed to automatically stabilize aim (other than gun rests) or for automatic targeting, and specially designed parts and components therefor;
- Parts and components specially designed for defense articles described in newly revised Category I (c) and (e);
- Certain ammunition handling equipment; and
- Gun barrels, rails, tubes, and receivers specially designed for the weapons controlled in paragraphs (a) and (d) of the revised USML category II.

For items transferred to the EAR, in certain cases parts, components and accessories will be placed on the CCL and be subject to licensing requirements, while others may be classified as EAR99 and not require a license under CCL-based controls. Manufactures of components and accessories will need to conduct a careful review of the new regulations to identify the new export classifications of their products and the new export requirements that will apply based on these new classifications. If a company is not sure of the classification of its product, or if it wants a higher level of assurance, it can file a Commodity Jurisdiction request (for ITAR items) or a Commodity Classification Automated Tracking System request (CCATS) (for EAR items) and obtain written confirmation of its product classification. However, you will need to start this process right away due to the tight time deadline for implementation.

4. <u>Controls on Technology and Deemed Exports</u>. The EAR will also regulate certain ?technology? related to the newly- transferred firearms products that become subject to the EAR. Generally, ?technology? includes designs, drawings, specifications, technical manuals and similar technical information related to controlled products in both tangible and intangible form (including technical information in spreadsheets, PowerPoint slides, e-mails, Word documents and CAD/CAM drawings). Controls on technology are one of the most challenging (and dangerous) aspects of export compliance.

The new Commerce amendments add a number of specific ECCNs covering technology related to firearms including: 0E501, 0E502, 0E504, 0E505, 0E602 and 0E982. Companies may be required to obtain licenses for the export of controlled technologies depending upon the technology?s export classification and the foreign country involved. In addition, restrictions may apply, and licenses required, for the transfer of controlled technology to foreign nationals in the U.S. (called a ?deemed export?), including to employees within your own company.

BIS also recommends that companies adopt controls within their computer networks to prevent foreign national employees from being able to access controlled technology in the company?s computer system, as well as to prevent all employees from inadvertently exporting controlled technology and software in laptops, iPhones and PDAs when traveling outside the U.S.

The new Commerce amendments also specifically address placing controlled firearms technology on the Internet. The newly adopted EAR §734.7(c) provides as follows:

(c) The following remains subject to the EAR: ?software? or ?technology? for the production of a firearm, or firearm frame or receiver, controlled under ECCN 0A501, that is made available by posting on the internet in an electronic format, such as AMF or G-code, and is ready for insertion into a computer numerically controlled machine tool, additive manufacturing equipment, or any other equipment that makes use of the ?software? or ?technology? to produce the firearm frame or receiver or complete firearm.

Accordingly, such technology and software is prohibited from being posted on the internet unless an export license is obtained under the EAR.

5. ITAR Brokering Regulations Still Apply to Transferred Firearms. While most firearms .50 caliber and

under will be regulated under the EAR, <u>the ITAR brokering regulations will still apply to these products</u>. Under the Arms Export Control Act (?AECA?), the State Department has jurisdiction for the regulation of ?brokering activities? for all items on the USML and the U.S. Munitions <u>Import</u> List (the ?USMIL?). While most firearms .50 caliber and under will no longer be on the USML, they will still be listed on the USMIL and hence the ?brokering? of such products will continue to be regulated by the State Department under ITAR. (In addition, since these products will continue to be listed on the USMIL, the permanent import of such items will continue to be regulated by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives.)

The ITAR brokering regulations are a broad set of requirements that place controls on performing ?any action on behalf of another to facilitate the manufacture, export, permanent import, transfer, reexport, or retransfer of a U.S. or foreign defense article or defense service, regardless of its origin[2] If a party engages in ?brokering activities? it will be subject to a number of requirements including:

- Registration with the State Department;
- Obtaining licenses (called ?approvals?) from the State Department prior to engaging in certain brokering transactions;
- The prohibition against engaging in transactions involving defense articles with the ?proscribed countries? listed in ITAR §126.1; and
- Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Under the recent amendments, the ITAR brokering regulations were revised to exclude activities by persons to facilitate the manufacture in the United States or export of items subject to the EAR. However, they still apply to most foreign manufactured firearms products. <u>Thus if a U.S. person</u> (including its foreign subsidiaries and in some cases foreign persons) contemplates becoming engaged in transactions involving foreign-made firearms (including firearms .50 caliber and under) and ammunition it should review the ITAR broker regulations carefully to determine if any ITAR requirements apply to the transaction.

It should be noted that requirements under the ITAR broker regulations may apply even at the beginning of involvement in a transaction. If a party is brokering or assisting others in the sale of foreign-made firearms, even just the commencement of the negotiations may require ITAR registration and advanced DDTC approval ? it is not necessary to close the sale for requirements to apply. Also, under ITAR §129.7 and §126.1(e) no person may engage in or make a proposal to engage in brokering activities (or the direct sale of USML items) that involve a ?proscribed country? listed in ITAR §126.1 ? and if a party becomes aware of such activities that involve a ?proscribed countries? he/she is required to immediately disclose the occurrence to DDTC under ITAR §§129.7 and §126.1(e).

In light of the broad scope of these requirements, any company that is engaged in an international firearms transaction, even as a sales agent, consultant, advisor or other intermediary, should be familiar with the ITAR brokering regulations.

6. Commerce Has a Substantially Larger Export Compliance Staff Than the State Department DDTC

has a highly effective and well-respected compliance staff (the Office of Defense Trade Controls Compliance), focused principally on investigating civil ITAR violations. However, the BIS Office of Export Enforcement, which focuses on EAR violations, is a much larger organization with agents in eight field offices across the U.S. and authority to carry firearms, make arrests, execute search warrants, serve subpoenas, detain and seize goods and investigate both civil and criminal violations. If companies are regulated by BIS under the EAR, they will face more Special Agents and compliance analysts with greater resources at their disposal. Penalties are significant ? the penalties under the EAR are effectively the same as ITAR ? up to \$1,000,000 in fines and 20 years? imprisonment. Companies should expect that the risk of enforcement actions are likely to be greater under Commerce than State and plan accordingly.

As mentioned above, steps that companies can take to get ready for this new phase of regulation include: (i) determining the export classifications of their products; (ii) assessing the licensing requirements that will apply under the new classifications; (iii) updating compliance procedures for the new regulations including for new license filing procedures, use of new license exceptions, procedures for commodity classification requests, reporting requirements and AES submissions; and (iv) dealing with license requirements during the 45 day transition period.

The new regulations provide many benefits for firearms industry companies, provided they properly prepare to take advantage of them.

Note: This article contains general, condensed summaries of actual legal matters, statutes and opinions for information and education purposes. It is not intended and should not be construed as legal advice.

[1] Similarly, if controlled U.S. software is ?comingled? with foreignmade software abroad, if the value of the controlled U.S. software exceeds the *de minimis* level in EAR §734.4 the comingled software becomes subject to the EAR and U.S. export requirements may apply to the comingled software in the foreign country. Also, if controlled U.S. technology is ?comingled? with foreign technology abroad, if the value of the controlled U.S. technology exceeds the *de minimis* level in EAR §734.4 the comingled technology becomes subject to the EAR and U.S. export requirements may apply to the comingled technology in the foreign country. Note that there are certain commodities, software and technologies for which no *de minimis* treatment is permitted under EAR §734.4. [2] Certain activities are excluded from the definition of ?brokering activities? in ITAR §129.2(b)(2).

## **Related People**

- Charles E. "Chuck" James, Jr. ? 804.420.6529 ? cjames@williamsmullen.com
- Camden R. Webb ? 919.981.4021 ? crwebb@williamsmullen.com

## **Related Services**

- Firearms Industry
- ITAR, Export Controls and Economic Sanctions